

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/117,214	07/24/1998	ALASTAIR HUGH SERVANTE	292/30.35.37	3424		
75	90 04/22/2004	EXAMINER				
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK 2033 K STREET NW			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C			
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		1771			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 11 - 41 N1 -		A 17 1/-\		<u> </u>
Office Action Summary		Application No.	'	Applicant(s)		
		09/117,214	;	SERVANTE ET AL		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Christopher C Pratt		1771		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minimivill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timel am of thirty (30) days v (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•		merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 33-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 33-41 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from considerati	,			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the c	abeyance. See 3 Irawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	• •	).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been receive s have been receive ity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application e been received )).	n No in this National	Stage	
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (F per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Pat her:		I-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/117,214

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 11/3/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is found to overcome the 112 indefinite rejection of claim 34. Despite this advance, the amendments are not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, as set forth in the previous action. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant argues that the surface layer of the instant invention is uncured by UV radiation. This argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims because the claim states that the layer lacks the ability to be cured by radiation.

Applicant argues that the surface layer will inherently not react when irradiated.

Applicant rebuts the examiner's assertion that Mehta provides evidence that he same formulations react under UV. Applicant points to a passage in Mehta saying that electron beam irradiation does not require an initiator. Applicant argues that this means

Application/Control Number: 09/117,214

Art Unit: 1771

that an initiator is needed for all other forms of curing. However, col. 5, lines 1-14 teach that the polymer layer can be cured by UV radiation without an initiator.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 33 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Mehta et al (5219641), as set forth in previous actions.

Applicant argues that the instant invention differs from Mehta because it is cured after printing. Applicant states that the instant invention covers the unprinted, and therefore uncured film. However, this argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims. The claims do not state the film is imprinted and uncured. They only claim that the film will not react when cured.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehta et al (5219641), as set forth in previous actions.
- 6. Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehta et al (5219641) in view of Kuburaki et al (5047286), as set forth in previous actions.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/117,214

Art Unit: 1771

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pratt whose telephone number is 571-232-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher C. Pratt April 16, 2004

Ula C. Ruddock
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Ula Luddock